BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2010-132-W

IN RE: Application of May River Water Company, Inc. for adjustment of rates and charges for **DIRECT TESTIMONY OF** the provision of water service. **JACQUELYN WATSON** PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS. Q. A. My name is Jacquelyn Watson. My business address is 108 Trail Creek Lane, Savannah, Georgia 31405. Q. WHERE ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY? I am a Certified Public Accountant with the firm of Watson & Associates, P.A. of A. which I am a partner. WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND YOUR WORK Q. **EXPERIENCE?** I graduated from the University of Florida with a Bachelor of Science Degree and A. taught school for 11 years. Additionally, I have been a Certified Public Accountant for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

over twenty-five years.

1	Q.	ARE	YOU	CURRENTLY	A	MEMBER	OF	ANY	PROFESSIONAL
2		ASSO	CIATIO	NS?					

A. I am a member of the Georgia Society of Certified Public Accountants and the
 American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

5

8

9

A.

A.

A.

Q. WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES WITH RESPECT TO MAY RIVER WATER COMPANY, INC.?

My responsibilities include advising May River, Water Utility Management, LLC ("WUM"), and their sister companies as to various financial decisions and tax matters and preparing tax returns. I have been providing these services to May River since 2009.

11

12

13

14

10

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor the application filed by May River for an adjustment of certain rates and charges for the provision of water services and to provide an explanation of May River's need for rate relief.

16

18

19

20

21

22

15

17 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE MAY RIVER'S APPLICATION.

In addition to the proposed rate schedule, the Application contains financial statements consisting of a balance sheet, income statements, an asset and depreciation schedule, revenue calculations under current rates and rates proposed in the application, and a schedule of current and projected customers. Also included is a sample customer bill form.

1 Q. MS. WATSON, WERE THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ATTACHED TO THE 2 APPLICATION PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR DIRECTION?

A. Yes, they were.

A.

5 Q. WHAT IS CONTAINED IN THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS?

The test year chosen by May River is the year ended December 31, 2009. Exhibit B, Schedule B of the application is the Income Statement for the test year which shows that May River experienced a per book net income/(loss) of (\$22,897.08). This results in an operating margin of (194.30%). Additionally, May River has experienced an increase in per book operating expenses of \$24,296 since its last rate case. This level of financial loss and increase in expenses demonstrates May River's need for rate relief.

Exhibit B, Schedule A of the application is the Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2009. At the end of the test year, May River had had assets of approximately \$7,196. Exhibit B, Schedule D of the application provides information regarding the current and projected customers served by May River. Additionally, the application contains an Asset and Depreciation Schedule for May River's plant in service. Exhibit B, Schedule E of the application details the effect of the proposed revenues under the rates requested in the application.

A.

Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF EXPLANATION OF THE PROFORMA ADJUSTMENTS INCLUDED ON SCHEDULE B?

The Company calculated State and Federal Income taxes at the current rates of 5% and 35%, respectively, to account for the requested rate increase. Additionally, the

Company adjusted for Rate Case Expenses to reflect the cost of this proceeding amortized over a three-year period.

Q. WHAT IS CONTAINED IN SCHEDULE C?

5 A. Schedule C is the Company's Book Asset Detail demonstrating the Company's
6 assets and depreciation rates during the test year. As of December 31, 2009, the
7 Company had net assets of approximately \$15,808. After recognition of depreciation, the
8 Net Book Value of these assets is approximately \$2,068.

A.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MAY RIVER AND WATER UTILITY MANAGEMENT, LLC.

As reflected in the Application, the Company's test year expenses and capital expenditures include payments to WUM. WUM is a separate corporate entity, which does not operate as a public utility, but provides support services to May River and its sister corporations in Georgia. These services include plant maintenance, repair and construction, meter reading, water testing, compliance of state requirements, and the like. Because WUM provides these services to all of May River's sister companies in Georgia, serving a total of approximately 10,000 customers, this arrangement allows May River to more efficiently provide utility services to its customers in South Carolina at a reasonable cost and to more effectively manage its operational responsibilities.

1	Q.	WOULD THE EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THE SERVICES PROVIDED
2		TO THE COMPANY BY WUM CONSTITUTE AFFILIATE TRANSACTIONS:

3 A. Yes, they would.

A.

Q. YOU STATED THAT THIS ARRANGEMENT ALLOWS MAY RIVER TO PROVIDE THESE SERVICES AT A REASONABLE COST; COULD YOU PLEASE ELABORATE ON THIS POINT?

Certainly. First, WUM is captive in the sense that its services to May River and its sister companies, which include payroll, tax, procurement services, maintenance and operations are only provided to these entities. This relationship is cost efficient since it avoids duplication of these services and functions for each entity. Second, the Management Fee in the amount of \$2,250 per month is reasonable in that it recovers WUM's costs incurred in providing these services.

Q.

A.

HAS WUM PERFORMED ANY ANALYSIS OF ITS COSTS TO PROVIDE THESE SERVICES?

Yes, it has. Although the Management Fee is a flat fee arrangement which secures all of the services necessary for May River to operate, WUM reviewed its cost basis for the Management Fee as part of this proceeding. As is reflected in Exhibit JW-1, WUM incurred approximately \$18,126 in expenses during the test year directly attributable to operating the May River water system. These direct expenses included operator salaries, vehicle use, directly assignable billing costs, water testing, meter reading, and other similar operations. In addition, WUM incurred approximately \$5,152

in indirect costs related to operating May River. These costs include costs which are not directly assignable to May River such as WUM's blanket insurance policy, computer systems, equipment and machinery usage and office space rental.

5 Q. IN PERFORMING ITS ANALYSIS, HOW DID WUM DETERMINE THE 6 AMOUNT OF THESE INDIRECT COSTS WHICH SHOULD BE 7 ATTRIBUTABLE TO MAY RIVER?

WUM assigned these costs on the basis of customer count. May River's thirty (30) customers comprise approximately 0.3% of the 9,450 total customers served by WUM and its sister companies. Therefore, the total amount of these costs were attributed to May River based on its percentage of customers.

A.

Q.

A.

WHAT DOES THE REMAINING PORTION OF WUM'S MANAGEMENT FEE REFLECT?

The remaining amount of the Management Fee reflects WUM's obligation and responsibilities related to its operation of the system. For example, WUM is on call twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week to address any system interruptions or emergencies that may arise. As well, WUM provides regulatory support to May River, including assistance for rate cases and working with DHEC, ORS and other South Carolina agencies. While these services are intangible, they do benefit May River and WUM's charges for the availability of these services are reasonable.

1 Q. HAS MAY RIVER DETERMINED WHETHER IT COULD SECURE THESE 2 SERVICES IN THE OPEN MARKET AT A CHEAPER RATE?

A. Yes, it has. As more fully discussed by Company Witness Tom Smith, the
Company, at ORS's direction, requested estimates from independent third-party vendors
on their charges to operate and manage the May River system. Based on the Company's
investigation, the amount paid by May River to WUM for management services is
comparable to what is available in the open market.

A.

Q. WHY DOES THAT MAKE THE WUM CHARGES REASONABLE?

These quotes demonstrate that WUM is charging to May River a rate which is less than or comparable to similar services which is available in the public market place. Because this rate is equivalent to that which could be bargained for in an arms-length transaction, the rate is therefore reasonable and does not reflect that customers are bearing an inflated or unwarranted cost for these services.

Q. WHAT RATEMAKING METHODOLOGY DOES MAY RIVER PROPOSE THAT THE COMMISSION EMPLOY IN THIS CASE?

A. May River proposes that its rates be determined utilizing operating margin methodology.

21 Q. WHAT IS THE PROPOSED RATE INCREASE DESIGNED TO ACCOMPLISH

FOR THE COMPANY?

The proposed rate increase is designed to generate additional revenues that will allow the Company to move closer to being on a sound financial footing, to allow it to raise additional capital, and to increase its earnings to a more reasonable level through fair charges to the consumer.

A.

A.

Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE FINANCIAL STATUS OF THE COMPANY?

The income and expense statement shows that the Company is currently losing money on its operation of the water system, and that its operating margin is far below that which is needed or reasonable. Without rate relief, the company will continue to experience high levels of losses, and its ability to exist and provide quality services over time will be jeopardized. Even after the proposed rates are applied to the Company's operations, the Company would only have an operating margin of 3.41%. Although this operating margin is low, it is my opinion that the requested rates are just and reasonable when considering the size of the Company and that the resultant operating margin is more than justified.

A.

Q. COULD A GREATER OPERATING MARGIN BE JUSTIFIED?

In my opinion, yes. Even if the Company's proposed expense items were adjusted by the Commission such that the Company's resultant operating margin was greater, the Company's expenses incurred in operating the system would still warrant the rate relief requested. In sum, even with efficient management and operational controls, the Company is unable to earn sufficient revenue to cover its expenses in operating the

- system. Consequently, the requested rates, additional revenue, and operating margin are all justified.
- 3
- 4 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 5 A. Yes, it does.

MANAGEMENT, I	LLC EXPENSES
009	
DIRECT	
10,021.27	3 MAN HRS A WEEK
398.23	18 MAN HRS A YEAR
1,103.13	3 MAN HRS PER MONTH
1 026 76	17 MAN HRS PER YEAR
1,020.70	THE WATER CONTROL OF THE PARTY.
2,816.24	8 MAN HR PER MONTH AT 27.91 PER HR
2 225 09	71 TRIPS 59.82 MILES PER TRIP 55CENTS
2,233.90	PER MILE
52.20	30 BILLS PER MONTH
23.40	MATERIAL COST 30 BILLS PER MONTH
362.53	LABOR BILLING/CASH RECEIPTS
86.61	LABOR 4 HRS
10 100 05	
18,126.35	
5,152.28	WUM 2009 ADJUSTED EXPENSES
	PRO RATA CUSTOMER COSTS 30/9450
23.278.63	
	DIRECT WUM 10,021.27 398.23 1,103.13 1,026.76 2,816.24 2,235.98 52.20 23.40 362.53 86.61 18,126.35